Discrete Mathematics: Basic Proof Technique for Computer Science Engineering

Mona Dekate

Dept of Mathematics, Datta Meghe Istitute of Technology Engineering & Research, Wardha, India.

Sunetra Adsad

Dept of Mathematics, Datta Meghe Istitute of Technology Engineering & Research, Wardha, India.

Abstract – In this paper we introduce several basic types of proof with special emphasis on a technique called induction that is invaluable to the study of discrete math for computer science.

Index Terms – Discrete Mathematics, Contra positive, Mathematical Induction.

1. INTRODUCTION

Undergraduate Programs in mathematics usually do not include a course in number theory, but student are introduced to elementary number theory concept in other course ,most often in a discrete mathematics course which is usually includes the concept of mathematical induction. Mathematical Induction is a prominent proof technique in discrete mathematics and number theory, where it is used to prove theorem involving properties of the set of natural number

Fermat, the founder of number theory, used a form of mathematical induction to prove many of his discoveries in this fields(Boyer,1968). Beyond its significance as a proof technique in mathematics. [4]

In this section we consider the following general task Given a premise X , How do we show that a conclusion Y hold.

2. DIRECT PROOF

Start with premises X, and directly deduce Y through a series of logical steps.

Claim 1.1: Let n be an integer. If n is even then n^2 is even.

Proof : If n is even then n=2k. for an integer k

$$n^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2 = 2(2k^2)$$
 which is even[1,2]

3. INDIRECT PROOF

A Proof by contra positive –start by assuming that the conclusion Y is false, and deduce that the premise X must also be false

Claim 2.1: Let n be an integer, If n^2 is even then n is even

Proof: suppose that n is not even i.e. n is odd

n=2k+1 $n^2 = (2k+1)^2=4$ $k^2 + 4k + 1 = 2(2k^2 + 2k) + 1$, which odd[1,3]

4. PROOF OF CONTRADICTION

Assume bat the premises X is true and the conclusion Y is false and reach a logical fallacy.

Claim3.1: $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational number

Proof : Assume for contradiction that $\sqrt{2}$ is rational. Then there exist an integer p and q, with no common divisor such

$$\sqrt{2} = \frac{p}{q}$$
$$\frac{p^2}{q^2} = 2$$
$$p^2 = 2q^2$$

This mean is even and by claim 1.1 P is even

Let us replace p by 2k

$$2q^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2$$
$$q^2 = 2k^2$$

This time, we conclude that is even is even and q is even as well. But this leads to contradiction. Hence is irrational number [1,3].

5. PROOF BY CASES AND EXAMPLE

Sometimes the easiest way to prove a theorem is to split it into several cases

ISSN: 2454-6410

is

International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Engineering Research (IJETER) Volume 4, Issue 5, May (2016) www.ijeter.everscience.org

Claim 4.1: $(n + 1)^2 > 2^n$ for every n satisfying $0 \le n < 5$

n	$(n+1)^2$		2 ⁿ
0	1	>	1
1	4	>	2
2	9	>	4
3	16	>	8
4	25	>	16
6. NON CONSTRUCTIVE PROOF			

Proof: There are only 6 different value of n [1,3]

This proof does not explicitly construct the example asked the theorem, but proves that such an example exist anyways these type of proof are non constructive.

Theorem: There exist irrational numbers x and y such that x^{y} is rational.

Proof: we know $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational from 2.1

Let $Z = \left(\sqrt{2}\right)^{\sqrt{2}}$

If Z is rational, then we are done (x=y= $\sqrt{2}$)

If Z is irrational, then take $x=z=(\sqrt{2})^{\sqrt{2}}$ and $y=\sqrt{2}$ then

 $x^{\mathcal{Y}} = ((\sqrt{2})^{\sqrt{2}})^{\sqrt{2}} = 2$ is indeed a rational number[1]

7. INDUCTION METHOD

Now with the most basic form of induction over the natural numbers. Suppose we want to show that a statement is true for all natural numbers

e.g. for all n,
$$1+2+3----n=n(n+1)/2$$

The basic idea is to approach the proof in two steps to be

- 1) First Prove that the statement is true for n=1. This is called base case.
- Next Prove that whenever the statement is true for case n, then it is also true for case n+1. This is called the induction step.

Claim6.1: For every positive integer's n,

$$1+2+3-\dots n=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

Proof: Define out induction hypothesis P(n) true if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$$

Base case P(1) is clearly true by in section

Inductive step : Assume P(n) is true , we wish to show that P(n+1) is true

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} i + (n+1)$$
$$\frac{1}{2}n(n+1) + n + 1$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n+2)$$

This is exactly P(n+1)

Claim6.2: Define our induction hypothesis P(n) to be true if for every finite set S of cardinality

Proof : Base case P(0) is true since the only finite set of size 0 is the empty set , and the power set of the empty set $P(==\{$, has cardinality 1.

Inductive step: Assume P(n) is true we wish to show that P(n+1) is true as well. Consider a finite set S of cardinality n+1.

Pick an element e and consider S'=S-{e}

Through the inductive hypothesis

Now consider P(S) observe that a set in P(S) either contain e or not further more , there is a one correspondence between the sets containing e and the sets not containing e.

We have just partitioned P(S) into two equal cardinality subset one of which is P(S') therefore

$$|P(S)| = 2|P(S)| = 2^{n+1}$$

8. CONCLUSION

This paper thus consisted of a brief overview of technique to solve mathematics eq.[1,4].

REFERENCES

- Kevin Ferland. Discrete Mathematics cengage learning, 2008
 Glynn Winskel, Discrete Mathematics II: Set Theory for computer science
- [3] Rafael Pan , Weiuung Dushn Tseng, A Course in Discrete Mathematics
- [4] Guershon Harel, The development of mathematical induction as a proof scheme ; A model for DNR-Based university of California, San Diego